## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Box I	Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)
This Inter	national Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
1. X	Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:  Although claims 1-11 are directed to a method of treatment of the human/animal body, the search has been carried out and based on the alleged effects of the compound/composition.
	Claims Nos.: 1-9 12 because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:  see FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210
3.	Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box II	Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This Inte	ernational Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
1.	As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2.	As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3.	As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4.	No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Rema	The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.  No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

## FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

Continuation of Box I.2

Claims Nos.: 1-9 12

defined by reference to desirable characteristics or properties, namely: "vanilloid VR-1 antagonist", "NSAID" and "COX-2 inhibitor". The claims cover all combinations of those compounds having these characteristics or properties (pharmacological properties), whereas the application provides support within the meaning of Article 6 PCT and/or disclosure within the meaning of Article 5 PCT for only a very limited number of such combinations. Present claims 1-12 relate to an extremely large number of disease states. The therapeutic application is defined as "conditions associated with pain" which does not allow any practical application in the form of a defined, real treatment of a pathological condition. It is noted that any disease may represent a condition associated with pain. In the present case, the claims so lack support, and the application so lacks disclosure, that a meaningful search over the whole of the claimed scope is impossible. Independent of the above reasoning, the claims also lack clarity (Article 6 PCT). An attempt is made to define the combinations by reference to a result to be achieved. Again, this lack of clarity in the present case is such as to render a meaningful search over the whole of the claimed scope impossible. Consequently, the search has been carried out for those parts of the claims which appear to be clear, supported and disclosed, namely those parts relating to the 2 following combinations in relation to their use in the treatment of the conditions mentioned in the first paragraph of the description:

Present claims 1-9 and 12 relate to combinations containing compounds

N-(2-Bromophenyl)-N'-'((R)-1-(5-trifluoromethyl-2-pyridyl)pyrrolidin-3-yl)!urea with rofecoxib, and

N-(2-Bromophenyl)-N'-'((R)-1-(5-trifluoromethyl-2-pyridyl)pyrrolidin-3-yl)! urea with 2-(4-ethoxy-phenyl)-3-(4-methanesulfonyl-phenyl)-pyrazolo'1,5-b!pyridazin

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that claims, or parts of claims, relating to inventions in respect of which no international search report has been established need not be the subject of an international preliminary examination (Rule 66.1(e) PCT). The applicant is advised that the EPO policy when acting as an International Preliminary Examining Authority is normally not to carry out a preliminary examination on matter which has not been searched. This is the case irrespective of whether or not the claims are amended following receipt of the search report or during any Chapter II procedure.

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

PCT 03/14776

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
		03-10-2002	BR	0208338 A	09-03-2004
WO 02076946	A	03-10-2002	CA	2441599 A1	03-10-2002
			CZ	20032561 A3	17-12-2003
			WO	02076946 A2	03-10-2002
			EP	1377576 A2	07-01-2004
			HU	0303623 A2	01-03-2004
			NO	20034122 A	16-09-2003
US 6048855	Α	11-04-2000	 FR	2740682 A1	09-05-1997
US 0040000	^	11 01 2000	CA	2234797 A1	15-05-1997
			ĔΡ	0859616 A1	26-08-1998
			WO	9717077 A1	15-05-1997
			JP	11501941 T	16-02-1999
			NO	982032 A	06-07-1998
			ÜS	6262050 B1	17-07-2001
WO 03062209	A	31-07-2003	WO	03062209 A2	31-07-2003
WO 03022809	Α	20-03-2003	WO	03022809 A2	20-03-2003.
WO 0146194	A	28-06-2001	AU	2675101 A	03-07-2001
MO 0140134		20 00 2002	BR	0016549 A	17-09-2002
			CA	2395569 A1	28-06-2001
			CN	1413215 T	23-04-2003
			CZ	20022178 A3	12-02-2003
			WO	0146194 A2	28-06-2001
			EP	1242424 A2	25-09-2002
			HU	0203407 A2	28-01-2003
			JP	2003518121 T	03-06-2003
			NO	20023041 A	16-08-2002
			US	2003078267 A1	24-04-2003
WO 0208221	Α	31-01-2002	AU	8066701 A	05-02-2002
HO 020022			BR	0112631 A	23-09-2003
			CA	2415606 A1	31-01-2002
			CN	1443170 T	17-09-2003
			EP	1301484 A2	16-04-2003
			WO	0208221 A2	31-01-2002
			US	2002132853 A1	19-09-2002